Maximum-Likelihood Estimation of Autoregressive Models with Conditional Independence Constraints

investigate

a parametric

spectrum

incorporates

sparsity

constraints

estimation

method

that

Jitkomut Songsiri University of California, Los Angeles Joachim Dahl Aalborg University

Goal

Lieven Vandenberghe University of California, Los Angeles

Introduction Graphical Models

- Represent relations between random variables
- Applications in
 - economics (exchange rates, stock prices, etc.)
 - brain networks (functional connectivity between brain regions)

Multivariate AR Process

$$B_0x(t)=-\sum_{k=1}^pB_kx(t-k)+v(t)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $v(t) \sim N(0, I)$ is Gaussian white noise.

Conditional Independence in AR processes $S(\omega)^{-1} = Y_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} (e^{-jk\omega}Y_k + e^{jk\omega}Y_k^T)$

- In conditional independence graph, nodes correspond to random variables X_i
- Link (i, j) is absent if X_i and X_j are conditionally independent
- Characterization for Gaussian time series $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots, X_n(t)), t \in \mathbb{Z}$

• ...

 X_i and X_j are conditionally independent if $(S(\omega)^{-1})_{ij} = 0$, $\forall \omega$

 $S(\omega)$ is the spectral density of X(t)

(Brillinger (1996))

(P1)

K=1 $Y_{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-k} B_{i}^{T} B_{i+k} , \ k = 0, 1, \dots, p$ $(S(\omega)^{-1})_{ij} = 0 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad [Y_{k}]_{ij} = [Y_{k}]_{ji} = 0 , \ k = 0, \dots, p$ $\bigoplus \quad P(D(B^{T} B)) = 0$

in $S(\omega)^{-1}$ where $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & B_1 & \dots & B_p \end{bmatrix}$, P : projection on the sparsity pattern D returns sums along the block diagonals $D_k(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X_{i,i+k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p$

Problem Formulation

minimize $-2\log \det B_0 + \operatorname{tr}(CB^TB)$ subject to $P(D(B^TB)) = 0.$

variable: $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & B_1 & \cdots & B_p \end{bmatrix}$

P1 Maximum-Likelihood Estimation

- Includes conditional independent constraints
- C is a sample covariance matrix computed by using the non-windowed estimate
- Nonconvex because of quadratic equality constraints

P2 Convex Relaxation



Exactness of the Relaxation

If C is block-Toeplitz, the low-rank property of X^* follows from

$$C + \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P}(Z^*)) \succeq \begin{bmatrix} W^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies C + \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P}(Z^*)) \succ 0$$

and the complementary slackness condition

- If X^* has rank n, then by factorizing $X^* = B^T B$, B must be optimal in (P1)
- The relaxation is exact if X^* has rank n
- The low-rank property of X^* can be proved for block-Toeplitz and positive definite C
- For ML problem, C is close to a block-Toeplitz matrix when the sample size $N \to \infty$

Example



$$X^*\left(C+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P}(Z^*))-\left[\begin{array}{cc}W^*&0\\0&0\end{array}\right]\right)=0$$

- Solve (P2) with different sample covariance matrices *C* (not block-Toeplitz)
- The relaxation is often exact for moderate values of N, even when C is not block-Toeplitz

ML estimate without sparsity constraints gives a model with substantially larger values of KL when N is small

Application Model Selection



Relations among air pollutants, CO, NO, NO₂, O₃, and solar intensity (R)

- Enumerate different models (p and topology)
- Calculate BIC scores (Bayes information criterion)

 $\mathsf{BIC} = -2L + k \log N$

- k : number of effective parameters
 L : maximized log-likelihood
 N : sample size
- Select the model with the lowest BIC score

Conclusions

Graphical Models of Gaussian AR processes

- Maximum-likelihood estimation leads to a nonconvex problem
- The convex relaxation solves the ML problem if C is block-Toeplitz
- In practice, the relaxation is often exact even if C is not block-Toeplitz
- The method is useful for model selection problems in combination with AIC, BIC scores